



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,235	11/20/2006	Laura Serino	002441.00182	1557
27476 7590 06/01/2010 NOVARTIS VACCINES AND DIAGNOSTICS INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY- X100B P.O. BOX 8097 Emeryville, CA 94662-8097			EXAMINER DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N	
			ART UNIT 1645	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/01/2010	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/561,235		SERINO ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	S. Devi, Ph.D.		1645	

All Participants:

(1) S. Devi (USPTO).

(2) Attorney Dale Hoscheit.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicants amendment filed 03/15/2010.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Attorney Hoscheit was informed that Applicants' amendment filed 03/15/2010 is non-compliant under 37 CFR 1.121 because the claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order and/or a complete listing of all of the claims is not present. That claims 15-17 are missing from the list of claims was conveyed to attorney Hoscheit. It was requested that Applicants file a fully compliant supplemental amendment by 01/06/2010 to avoid mailing out of a Notice of Non-compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Attorney Hoscheit was invited to call the Examiner of record if he had any questions on the issue.

/S. Devi/
Primary Examiner
AU 1645

05-27-2010